

APPENDIX B – Partner responses to the renewal of the City Centre PSPO in Lincoln

Insp Hime

I am supportive of the addition of Zone 3, if possible, due to the high levels of reporting in this area. I have considered the wording again which I know has caused some issues in the past, however, I am unable to suggest anything better.

Insp Richardson

I believe you may have already had some replies around this, but I would support extending this to include zone 3 of the attached map to include all of the problematic areas around Rumbold Street etc.

If you need any supporting evidence, James Lingard has a bunch of videos relating to Spa St / old grave yard.

Sgt Mayo

Our team have discussed this today – in relation to the map we think it would be useful to extend it out to St Rumbolds Street/Rosemary lane and Baggholme Road areas.

Simon Gladwin – LCC

Please find below my response on behalf of Public health division, Adult Care and community Wellbeing at Lincolnshire County council. **Blue text indicates my response**

1. Should the requirement not to consume alcohol remain as part of the PSPO and is the wording fit for purpose? –
 - a. **Yes – I believe the alcohol elements should remain within the PSPO there are still a number of people who use alcohol irresponsibly within the area designated by the PSPO, any relaxing of this element may result in congregation issues in the city centre that are problematic**
2. Should the requirement not to ingest, inhale, smoke or otherwise use intoxicating substances remain as part of the PSPO and is the wording fit for purpose?
 - a. **Yes I believe the intoxicating substances elements should remain within the PSPO however I have a number of concerns regarding the wording which include**
 - i. **It may be beneficial to include a reference to the Psychoactive Substances Act 2016 as this will then cover all novel psychoactive substances including developing trends**
 - ii. **The term legal highs should be replaced to use Novel Psychoactive substances which includes such drugs as mamba and spice that we see regularly used in our public places**
 - iii. **I believe the paragraph *Exemptions shall apply in cases where the substances are used for a valid and demonstrable medicinal use, given to an animal as a medicinal remedy, are cigarettes (tobacco) or vaporisers or are food stuffs regulated by food health and safety legislation.* Worryingly has a significant loophole within it as some**

novel psychoactive substances and some versions of cannabis can be used within a vaporiser, this paragraph would indicate that is an acceptable exemption, can this wording please be changed so it is clear it only refers to nicotine and not other vape compliant drugs

3. Are there any other issues that you believe should be considered for inclusion in the PSPO? Please provide evidence of this issue. Evidence might include the number of reports, photos etc.
 - a. No comment
4. Is the area designated by the PSPO still appropriate (Map is attached as Appendix A).
 - a. Consideration to include some area of Monks road would be beneficial